Case: 4:20-cr-00060-JAR Doc. #: 21 Filed: 03/05/20 Page: 1 of 3 PageID #: 42

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America)
v.)
) Case No. 4:20CR00060 JAR/JMB
CURTIS WARE)
Defendant)

CURTIS WARE)
Defendant)
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Part I - Eligibility for Detention	
Upon the	
	t to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own r	notion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i),	is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law	as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C	. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of cond and the community because the following conditions has been supported by the community of the community because the following conditions has been supported by the community of the community o	itions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person have been met:
\square (1) the defendant is charged with one of the fol	lowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
	U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
\square (b) an offense for which the maximum se	
	of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§	801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act f Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two o	nvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs r more State or local offenses that would have been offenses of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal of such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crim	e of violence but involves:
-	firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted	d of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above	e for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on release	pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
	psed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense of	lescribed in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later

Case: 4:20-cr-00060-JAR Doc. #: 21 Filed: 03/05/20 Page: 2 of 3 PageID #: 43

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial		
■ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:		
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);		
 □ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; □ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; 		
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or		
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.		
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above		
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)		
OR		
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.		
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:		
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.		
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:		
 ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior priminal history 		
☑ Prior criminal history☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision		
History of violence or use of weapons		
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse		
☐ Lack of stable employment		
☐ Lack of stable residence		
Lack of financially responsible sureties		

Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial Significant family or other ties outside the United States Lack of legal status in the United States Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement Use of alias(es) or false documents Background information unknown or unverified Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: The U.S. Pretrial Services Reports that were prepared on February 21, 2020 (Docs. #15 and #17) are incorporated here by reference. On February 21, 2020, defendant was present with counsel and he argued for a bond. Defendant has a place to live with his girlfriend. He has a pending disability claim which has had an impact on his ability to work. He is amenable to all conditions of release. He objects to the conclusion that the weight of the evidence against him is strong. The government argued for detention in light of defendant's poor history of compliance while on state parole in the past. He also incurred violations of his federal supervised release, which he completed on June 4, 2019. Defendant has a long history of mental health conditions that are not treated. He is a daily user of cocaine and cannabinoids. He has a history of absconding under supervision. The government also argued that defendant possessed an extended magazine for ammunition related to this case, which suggests that he is a danger of the community and that he has an unstable residential situation. Defendant has a history of noncompliance with the law and prior terms of supervision in the past. Continued drug use could interfere with his ability to comply with conditions of release and defendant has failed to comply with conditions imposed previously. He presents a risk of flight and a danger to the community and he should be detained. Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. Date: 03/05/2020 /s/Noelle C. Collins

United States Magistrate Judge